PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference C1-A0508P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2006/311600	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 June 2006 (09.06.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 June 2005 (10.06.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8t) See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI K	AISHA		

This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule $44\ bis.1(a)$.

2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
			ence to the written opinion of eport on patentability (Chapt	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the report							
Box No. II Priority							
	E	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	X E	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	X H	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	E	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application			
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application			
4.		where the applicant r		ignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			
	<u>-</u>			Date of issuance of this report 11 December 2007 (11.12.2007)			
		e International Bures 34, chemin des Colo 211 Geneva 20, Sw	ombettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara			
Facsin	nile No. +41 2	2 338 82 70		e-mail: pt07.pct@wipo.int			
Form F	PCT/IB/373 (Ja	anuary 2004)					

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATION	AL SEARCHIN	G AUTHORIT	'Y			"ANG.
То:						PCT PCT
				INTER		ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of maili (day/month/y	-	
Applicant's or ag	ent's file referenc	:e		FOR FURT		CTION
C1-A050	8P			1		See paragraph 2 below
International app	lication No.	I	nternational filing date (a	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2	006/3116	500	09.06.2006			10.06.2005
A61K39/3	395 (2006 .	01)i, A		.01)i, A		47/02(2006.01)i, 47/22(2006.01)i,
Applicant CHUGAI	SEIYAKU	KABUSHI	KI KAISHA			
l <u></u> 1	pinion contains in	dications relatin	g to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty.	inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention			·
	Box No. V		ment under Rule 43bis.1 sitations and explanation			ovelty, inventive step or industrial ment
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication		·
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application		
2. FURTI	HER ACTION					
Internat than thi	tional Preliminary is one to be the I	y Examining Au PEA and the ch	thority ("IPEA") except	that this does r	not appl	be considered to be a written opinion of the y where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of
written	reply together,	where appropria		pefore the expi	iration	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form xpires later.
For furt	ther options, see 1	Form PCT/ISA/	220.			
3. For furt	ther details, see n	otes to Form PC	T/ISA/220.			
Name and mailin	g address of the I	SA/JP	Date of completion of	f this opinion	Autho	rized officer
1						
						·
Facsimile No.		•	1		Telepl	none No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Box	No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	the translation of the international application into which is the language of a
	translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed
	filed together with the international application in electronic form
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Воз	No. I	V Lack of unity of invention
1.	X	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
		paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
		paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
		not paid additional fees
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied with
	\boxtimes	not complied with for the following reasons:
		A. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 9 and 22) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing salt and sc(Fv)2. B. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing amino sugar and sc(Fv)2. C. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing sugar alcohol and sc(Fv)2. D. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing an amino acid and sc(Fv)2. E. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 7, 8, 20 and 21) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing a pH adjusting agent and sc(Fv)2. F. The subject matters of claims (12, 36 (partially), 11, and 25) relate to a freeze-dried preparation containing sc(Fv)2. G. The subject matters of claims (26-35) relate to a method for suppressing isomerization of an active ingredient in a pharmaceutical composition. H. The subject matter of claim (43) relates to a method for screening substances which suppress isomerization of sc(Fv)2. The pharmaceutical composition containing sc(Fv)2, which is common to A and B-F, is publicly known, for example, as described in the document ("Treatment of human B cell lymphoma xenografts with a CD3 × CD19 diabody and T cells," (B. Cochlovius), Journal of imnunology, 2000, Vol. 165, No. 2, pages 888 to 895). The pharmaceutical composition which is a matter common to A and G is publicly known
		Therefore, these common matters are not considered to be special technical features, since they are within the prior art. Moreover, there is no other matter that is common to all the claims and considered to be any special technical feature.
		H is neither a method for producing substances which suppress an isomerization reaction of sc(Fv)2 such as an amino sugar nor a method for using the substances. Moreover, H does not give any suggestion regarding a specified structure of compounds required for suppressing isomerization of sc(Fv)2. Accordingly, there is no single general inventive concept in A and H.
,	C.	Therefore, the number of inventions included in the application concerned is eight.
4.	Con	sequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
		all parts 1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 9 and the parts relating to claims Nos. 22

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	10, 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)	YES
		Claims	1-6, 14-19(respectively partially), 9 and 22	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)	YES
		Claims	1-6, 10, 14-19 (respectively partially), 9 and 22	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42(respectively partially), 9 and 22	_ YES
		Claims		- NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: "Treatment of human B cell lymphoma xenografts with a CD3 × CD19 diabody and T cells," (B. Cochlovius), Journal of immunology, 2000, Vol. 165, No. 2, pages 888 to 895 Document 2: WO, 2004-019966, A1 (Chugai Seiyaku K.K.), 11 March, 2003 (11.03.04), & EP, 1541165, A1, & US, 2006-058511, A1 Document 3: JP, 2003-515323, A (Oxford Biomedica (UK) Ltd.), 7 May, 2003 (07.05.03), & WO, 2001-36486, A2, & EP, 1242456, & US, 2003-083290, A1, & US, 2004-131591, A1, & US, 2004-265275, A1, & US, 2006-014222, A1

Document 4: JP, 2002-543822, A (Smithkline Beecham Corp., US), 24 December, 2002 (24.12.02), & WO, 2000-69462, A1, & EP, 1178829, A1

(1) The subject matters of claims 1-6, 14-19 (respectively partially) (parts containing salt), 9 and 22 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step, since they are described in document 1 cited in the ISR.

Especially, document 1 describes a pharmaceutical composition where a CD3 \times CD19 diabody (corresponding to sc(Fv)2) is dissolved in PBS (page 889, items "Diabody expression and purification" and "Pharmacokinetic studies").

(2) The subject matter of claim 10 (partially) (part containing salt) does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-4 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 does not describe that a composition containing sc(Fv)2 and salt is employed as a freeze-dried preparation.

As described in documents 2-4, however, it is a well-known art to employ a pharmaceutical composition containing an antibody like scFv, etc., as a freeze-dried preparation.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of employing the pharmaceutical composition described in document 1 containing sc(Fv)2 and salt as a freeze-dried preparation.

(3) The subject matters of claims 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)(parts containing salt) appear to be novel and to involve an inventive step, since they are neither described nor disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR.

The isomerization reactions of bivalent scFv and single chain diabody, and the method for suppressing the isomerization reactions described in claims 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially) (parts containing salt), are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR or the documents related to the present invention, nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2006/311600

Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim (day/month/year)
	17.11.2005	11.05.2005	11.05.2004
		•	
	·		
written disclosures (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 70.9	9)		
Kind of non-written disclosure		sclosure referring	e of written disclosure g to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
•			
•			
	Kind of non-written disclosure	written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9) Kind of non-written disclosure Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/yea)	written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9) Kind of non-written disclosure Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year) Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Int.Cl.

A61K47/26(2006.01)i, A61K47/46(2006.01)i,

A61P43/00(2006.01)i, G01N33/15(2006.01)i,

G01N33/50(2006.01)i

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)